UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,211	12/16/2004	Carl L. Christensen	PU020299	5249	
Joseph S Tripol	7590 08/18/200 i	EXAMINER			
Thomson Licen		RUTKOWSKI, JEFFREY M			
PO Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,211	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JEFFREY M. RUTKOWSKI	2416				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	(IO OFT TO EVEIDE - MONTH	0) 00 7 400 7 400 7 400				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	oril 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
S) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Other:						

Art Unit: 2416

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/27/2009 has been entered.

Priority

2. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/390358, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. There is no disclosure of the claimed subject matter

Art Unit: 2416

in the provisional application. Accordingly, **claims 1-11** are not entitled to the benefit of the provisional application. The **claims 1-11** have been given a priority date of 06/16/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Byers et al. (US Pat 6,693,901), hereinafter referred to as Byers.
- 5. For claim 1, Byers discloses a first routing engine having input and output sides (control routing circuit 112 for circuit board 104a, see figure 1); a second routing engine having input and output sides (control routing circuit 112 for circuit board 104b); a third routing engine having input and output sides (control routing circuit 112 for circuit board 104c); a first link, said first link coupling said input side of said first routing engine to said input side of said second routing engine (item 106a); a second link, said second link coupling said input side of said first routing engine to said input side of said third routing engine (item 106b); and a third link, said third link coupling said input side of said second routing engine to said input side of said third routing engine to said input side of said third routing engine to said input side of said third routing engine to said input side of said third routing engine (item 106d); wherein said first, second and third routing engines are arranged in a fully connected topology (figure 1 shows the control routing circuits 112 are fully interconnected). Byers' control routing circuits 112 anticipates a routing engine because the

Art Unit: 2416

control routing circuits **112** are also used to control device communications (see col. 4 lines 5-26).

- 6. For claim 4, Byers discloses a fourth routing engine having input and output sides (control routing circuit 112 for circuit board 104d, see figure 1); a fourth link, said fourth link coupling said input side of said first routing engine to said input side of said fourth routing engine (item 106c); a fifth link, said fifth link coupling said input side of said second routing engine to said input side of said fourth routing engine (item 106e); and a sixth link, said sixth link coupling said input side of said third routing engine to said input side of said fourth routing engine (item 106f); wherein said first, second, third and fourth routing engines are arranged in a fully connected topology (figure 1 shows the control routing circuits 112 are fully interconnected).
- 7. Claims 7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Civanlar et al. (US Pat 6,078,963), hereinafter referred to as Civanlar.
- 8. For claims 7 and 10, Civanlar discloses a scenario where one of the router ports 103 is used to broadcast routing updates to the other routing ports 103 via the switching fabric 102 (see col. 7 lines 59-61). For this scenario, Civanlar discloses at least three broadcast router components (intelligent routing ports 103 B-D, see figure 1), each of said at least three broadcast router components is a discrete router having an input side (side directly connected to the switching fabric 102) and an output side (network interface 110; the network interface 110 is used to forward the routing updates to neighboring devices, see col. 7 lines 61-64) and including a routing engine coupled between said input and output sides (each intelligent routing port 103 includes a routing engine 107); and means for coupling said at least three broadcast router

components (switching fabric 102) on wherein said input side of each of said linear expandable

Page 5

broadcast router component is connected, by a discrete link, to each and every one of the other

said input sides of said broadcast router components (figure 1 shows the links in the switching

fabric 102 fully interconnecting the intelligent routing ports 103 are discrete).

9. For **claim 11**, Civanlar implies that any one of the intelligent router ports **103** are capable

of broadcasting routing updates via the switching fabric 102 (see col. 7 lines 42-65). Therefore,

Civanlar discloses providing a fourth router (intelligent routing ports 103 A, see figure 1) having

input (side directly connected to the switching fabric 102) and output sides (network interface

110); coupling, using a fourth discrete link, said input side of said first router to said input side

of said fourth router; coupling, using a fifth discrete link, said input side of said second router to

said input side of said fourth router; and coupling, using a sixth discrete link, said input side of

said third router to said input side of said fourth router (figure 1 shows the links in the switching

fabric 102 fully interconnecting the intelligent routing ports 103 are discrete).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/518,211

Art Unit: 2416

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 6

- 12. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byers in view of Choe (US Pg Pub 2002/0118682).
- 13. For claim 2, Byers discloses a control/routing circuit 112 that has a single input and multiple outputs (see figure 2). Byers does not disclose a routing engine that uses an NxM architecture. Choe discloses said first, second and third routing engines (forwarding engines 112, see figures 2 and 3) each have N inputs to said input side thereof and M outputs from said output side thereof (see paragraph 0049 figure 3); and said linearly expandable router formed from said first, second and third routing engines having 3N inputs and 3M outputs (the forwarding engine 112 can have any number of inputs and outputs, see figure 3). Given that routing circuits and forwarding engines perform the same functions (see Choe paragraph 0011), it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an NxM architecture in Byers' invention to take advantage of parallelism (Choe, paragraph 0048).
- 14. For **claim 5**, Byers discloses a control/routing circuit **112** that has a single input and multiple outputs (see figure 2). Byers does not disclose a routing engine that uses an NxM architecture. Choe discloses *said first, second, third and fourth routing engines* (forwarding engines **112**, see figures 2 and 3) *have N inputs to said input side and m outputs from said output side* (see paragraph 0049 figure 3); and said linearly expandable router formed from said first, second, third and fourth routing engines having 4N inputs and 4M outputs (the forwarding

Application/Control Number: 10/518,211

Art Unit: 2416

engine 112 can have any number of inputs and outputs, see figure 3). Given that routing circuits and forwarding engines perform the same functions (see Choe paragraph 0011), it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an NxM architecture in Byers' invention to take advantage of parallelism (Choe, paragraph 0048).

Page 7

- 15. **Claims 8-9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Civanlar in view of Lydon et al. (US Pat 6,680,939), hereinafter referred to as Lydon.
- 16. For **claim 8**, Civanlar do not disclose the use of NxM routing modules. Lydon discloses routing modules that have N inputs and M outputs [**col. 2 lines 64-67**]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use NxM routing modules in Civanlar's invention to provide parallelism in the router.
- 17. For **claim 9**, Civanlar does not disclose a means for coupling N inputs. Lydon teaches the inputs of the four router modules are connected via bus [col. 4 line 51] (said coupling means further comprises means for coupling said N inputs for each one of said at least three broadcast router components to said routing engine for the other ones of said at least three broadcast router components). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to interconnect N modules via bus in Civanlar's invention to provide parallelism in the router.

Allowable Subject Matter

18. Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2416

Terminal Disclaimer

19. The terminal disclaimer filed on 04/06/2009 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of Application Number 10/518212 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY M. RUTKOWSKI whose telephone number is (571)270-1215. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang Yao can be reached on (571) 272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2416

Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/KWANG B. YAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416